

Book Chapter

Factors Associated with Patient Experiences of the Burden of Using Medicines and Health-Related Quality of Life: A Cross-Sectional Study

Won Sun Chen^{1*}, Md. Rafiqul Islam^{2,3}, Sajini Ambepitiya², William Sim², Wai Yiu², Joseph Carey² and Edward Ogden^{1,2}

¹School of Health Sciences, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

²Goulburn Valley Health, Australia

³School of Rural Health, La Trobe University, Australia

***Corresponding Author:** Won Sun Chen, School of Health Sciences, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, Victoria, Australia

Published **June 20, 2022**

This Book Chapter is a republication of an article published by Won Sun Chen, et al. at PLoS ONE in April 2022. (Chen WS, Islam MR, Ambepitiya S, Sim W, Yiu W, Carey J, et al. (2022) Factors associated with patient experiences of the burden of using medicines and health-related quality of life: A cross-sectional study. PLoS ONE 17(4): e0267593. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267593>)

How to cite this book chapter: Won Sun Chen, Md. Rafiqul Islam, Sajini Ambepitiya, William Sim, Wai Yiu, Joseph Carey, Edward Ogden. Factors Associated with Patient Experiences of the Burden of Using Medicines and Health-Related Quality of Life: A Cross-Sectional Study. In: Advances in Public Health. Wyoming, USA: Academic Reads. 2022.

© The Author(s) 2022. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank all participants for their contributions to this study.

Data Availability:

<https://researchbank.swinburne.edu.au/items/64df9eed-5a1b-4f18-adf8-ddeea517d561/1/>

Abstract

Objective: Polypharmacy, defined as the concurrent use of multiple medications, is a growing concern globally. This study aimed to identify the significant factors that predict the perceived burden of medication and health-related quality of life.

Methods: Adults, aged 18 years and above who have used at least two regular medicines, were invited to complete the study questionnaires between June and October 2019. Multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to identify significant predictors for perceived burden of medication and health-related quality of life.

Results: A total of 119 participants completed this study. The average age of the participants was 63 years (SD±16 years). Factors significantly predicting perceived burden of medication were participants' current health condition ($p = 0.001$), overall burden of treatment ($p < 0.001$) and being hypertensive ($p = 0.037$). Similarly, participants' current health condition ($p < 0.001$) and overall burden of treatment ($p = 0.086$) were significant predictors for perceived health-related quality of life.

Conclusions: This study revealed that hypertensive participants in poor health tended to experience higher perceived burden of medication, which in turn was found to be correlated with lower perceived health-related quality of life.

Introduction

Polypharmacy, defined as the concurrent use of several medicines, is a growing concern globally [1–3]. The trend of increased prescribing of drugs for secondary prevention, poses an increasing burden to some patients [4–7]. Specifically, almost a third of patients over the age of 60 years use five or more medicines frequently, and polypharmacy has been found to be negatively associated with socioeconomic status [8]. Additionally, polypharmacy has also been found to be associated with adverse outcomes, such as increased hospitalisation, cognitive impairment, falls, and drug interactions [8]. Polypharmacy has been posited in past studies as one of the reasons patients are reluctant to take medicines [9,10].

In Australia, the prevalence of polypharmacy was estimated to be between 43% and 91% [11–14]. The higher polypharmacy was found to be associated to those individuals with greater needs, including hospital inpatients and aged care residents. The disparity of these estimates is partially due to a lack of a uniform approach in defining polypharmacy. For example, exposure to medicines can be estimated according to dispensing claims, prescriptions, or patient self-reported numbers [15].

Several instruments exist to measure satisfaction with medicines [16,17] and the overall impact of using medicines on the quality of life [18]. The long-term use of medicine is, however, multidimensional and complex. Any individual can experience both positive and negative aspects of medicine use [6,7,19,20]. Medicine-related burden is a relatively new concept. It includes impacts on behaviours (such as non-adherence); practical difficulties (such as opening packaging); challenges with managing complex regimes; psychosocial issues, particularly social stigma; disruptions to daily living; and health system burden associated with regular medicine use [20–24].

The Living with Medicines Questionnaire (LMQ) was developed primarily to measure perceived burden of medication [23]. This instrument consists of 60 items, accompanied by a five-point Likert scale (strongly agree to strongly disagree) and a free-text open question. The LMQ has been demonstrated to be a valid and reliable multidimensional measure of prescription medicine use experiences. Side effects are further strengthened within the LMQ version 3 (LMQ-3) into a separate domain and proved to be one of the questionnaires that most strongly associated with perceived burden of medication [25,26].

In this study, we primarily examined the relationship between perceived burden of medication, overall burden of treatment and health-related quality of life with demographic factors. The secondary objective was to identify significant factors that predict perceived burden of medication and health-related quality of life.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Goulburn Valley Health, Victoria, Australia, between the period of June and October 2019 among people aged 18 years and above who used at least two regular medicines. A study advertisement was posted on the notice boards located throughout Goulburn Valley Health, and interested participants were asked to contact the study researchers through the contact details provided on the advertisement. After obtaining written informed consent, all participants were invited to complete a set of the following questionnaires available through a paper-based method or an online Qualtrics platform. This study was approved by Swinburne University Human Research Ethics Committee (SHR Project 2019/108) and Goulburn Valley Health Human Research Ethics Committee (LNR/51946/GVH-2019-169364(v2)).

Research Questionnaire

The research questionnaire consisted of demographic questions and the following well-validated scales: LMQ-3 and EQ-5D-5L

questionnaire. Permission to use the LMQ-3 (UK English version) and EQ-3D (UK English version) was granted by the Universities of Greenwich and Kent at Medway as well as the EuroQol Research Foundation at Rotterdam, respectively.

Living with Medicines Questionnaire version 3 (LMQ-3)

The LMQ-3 consists of 41 items, measured using a five-point Likert scale (scored from strongly agree to strongly disagree) within eight domains: 1) perceptions about effectiveness, 2) concerns about medicine use, 3) patient-provider relationships and communication about medicines, 4) practical difficulties, 5) interferences with daily life, 6) side effects, 7) costs, 8) autonomy/control over medicine and acceptance of medicine use, all of which have been cited by users of long-term medicines as burdensome [20,26].

Domain scores are tallied to produce a total score (total LMQ-3 score) representing the perceived burden of medication. The total score ranges from 41 to 205, with higher scores reflecting higher perceived burden of medication. The total score can be further categorised into 1) no/minimal burden (41–87), 2) moderate degree of burden (88–110) and 3) high burden, potentially benefitting from intervention (111–205). In addition, a 10 cm visual analogue scale (VAS) ranging from 0 (no burden at all) to 10 (extremely burdensome) enables self-reflection of overall burden of treatment (VAS-burden). This VAS-burden score can be further categorised into 1) no/minimal burden (0–4), some degree of burden (4.1–5.9) and 3) high degree of burden (6–10) [23].

EQ-5D-5L Questionnaire

The EQ-5D-5L is a standardised measure of health-related quality of life. It consists of 2 pages: the EQ-5D-5L descriptive system and the EQ visual analogue scale (EQ VAS). The descriptive system comprises 5 dimensions: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. Each dimension has 5 levels: 1 = no problems, 2 = slight problems, 3

= moderate problems, 4 = severe problems, and 5 = extreme problems. The EQ VAS captures one's self-reported overall health on a 20 cm vertical, visual analogue scale with endpoints of 0 (the worst health you can imagine) and 100 (the best health you can imagine) [27].

Statistical Analysis

Continuous data was summarised using descriptive statistics (such as mean, standard deviation, median and range), while frequency and percentage were presented for categorical data.

Correlations between perceived burden of medication, overall burden of treatment, health-related quality of life, and demographic factors were assessed using Spearman correlation coefficients. Relationships between demographic characteristics and perceived burden of medication as well as health-related quality of life were explored using parametric tests such as independent samples t-tests and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Due to significant departure from normality, nonparametric tests such as Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis test were performed to assess the relationship with overall burden of treatment.

Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to examine factors affecting the perceived burden of medication and perceived health-related quality of life respectively, after adjusted for significant demographic factors. Diagnostic testing was conducted for outliers (deleted standardised residuals), influential points (Mahalanobis Distance), linearity (partial correlation plots) and multicollinearity (variance inflation factors). A p-value < 0.05 was deemed statistically significant for all 2-sided tests. The analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics version 27 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Descriptive Statistics

A total of 119 participants were recruited for this study. Table 1 shows a summary of the demographic data. Participants were

aged between 18 and 95 years with an average age of 63 years (SD±16 years), with a predominance of retired female Australian participants with primary/secondary educational attainment (61%) and at least moderate health condition (90%). The top three medical conditions reported were arthritis (63%), high blood pressure (57%) and heart problems (33%).

Table 1: Demographic and medicine-related characteristics (n = 119).

Demographic characteristics	Total (n = 119)
Age (years)	
Median (Range)	65 (18–95)
Mean ± SD	63.4 ± 15.5
Gender, n (%)	
Female	67 (56.3%)
Male	52 (43.7%)
Nationality, n (%)	
Australian	108 (90.8%)
Non-Australian	11 (9.2%)
Highest Educational Attainment, n (%)	
No formal education	1 (0.8%)
Primary / Secondary	72 (60.5%)
Tertiary	46 (38.7%)
Employment Status, n (%)	
Employed	22 (18.5%)
Unemployed	13 (10.9%)
Retired	65 (54.6%)
Full-time / part-time student	7 (5.9%)
Others	12 (10.1%)
Self-Rated Health Condition	
Excellent	3 (2.5%)
Good	46 (38.7%)
Moderate	58 (48.7%)
Poor	12 (10.1%)
History of Medical Condition(s)	
High blood pressure	68 (57.1%)
Diabetes	30 (25.5%)
Heart problems	39 (32.8%)
Stroke	18 (15.1%)
Cataract / glaucoma	23 (19.3%)
Hearing problem	25 (21.0%)
Arthritis	75 (63.0%)
Dementia	3 (2.5%)
Medicine-related characteristics	
No. of medicines	
Median (Range)	5 (1–25)
Mean ± SD	6 ± 3.9
Formulation used	
Tablets/capsules	117 (98.3%)
Other formulations	17 (14.3%)
Both types	16 (13.4%)
Frequency of use	
Once per day	64 (53.8%)
Twice per day	54 (45.4%)
Three times per day	19 (16.0%)
More than 3 times per day	7 (5.9%)
Other times	5 (4.2%)
Demographic characteristics	Total (n = 119)
Managing medicines	
Yes (Required assistance)	23 (19.3%)
Paying for prescription	
Yes	113 (95.0%)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267593.t001>

At least half of the participants reported taking 5 medicines concurrently, in tablet and capsule formulations (98%), once daily (54%). Almost all participants managed and paid for their own medicines (Table 1).

Correlation between LMQ-3 Scores and EQ VAS Scores

Increasing age was found to be correlated with higher number of medical conditions ($r = 0.481$, $p < 0.010$), and also higher number of medicines used ($r = 0.376$, $p < 0.010$). Additionally, participants in the older age group tended to report experiencing lower perceived burden of medication ($r = -0.161$, $p = 0.081$) and lower overall burden of treatment ($r = -0.249$, $p = 0.006$), which resulted in negative impacts on health-related quality of life ($r = -0.027$, $p = 0.773$). Poor health was found to be significantly correlated with higher perceived burden of medication ($r = 0.436$, $p < 0.010$), higher overall burden of treatment ($r = 0.297$, $p < 0.010$), and lower health-related quality of life ($r = -0.635$, $p < 0.010$). On the other hand, a significant positive correlation was found between the number of medicines used and the number of medical conditions ($r = 0.562$, $p < 0.010$). Furthermore, there was a negative relationship between health-related quality of life and the number of medicines used ($r = -0.326$, $p < 0.010$) as well as the number of medical conditions ($r = -0.112$, $p = 0.223$).

Relationship between LMQ-3 Scores, VAS-Burden Scores and EQ VAS Scores with Demographics

Table 2 shows that the perceived burden of medication was significantly different across varying health conditions ($F(3,115) = 8.60$, $p < 0.001$), particularly for hypertensive participants ($F(1, 117) = 9.03$, $p = 0.003$). Overall burden of treatment was found to be significantly different across age groups ($H(6) = 15.27$, $p = 0.018$) and self-rated health condition ($H(3) = 13.29$, $p = 0.004$). Interestingly, perceived health-related quality of life was significantly different across highest educational attainment ($F(2, 116) = 3.22$, $p = 0.044$) and self-rated health condition ($F(3, 115) = 29.25$, $p < 0.001$).

Table 2: Demographic and medicines used characteristics on LMQ-3 total score, VAS-burden score and EQ VAS score.

Characteristics	Perceived Burden of Medication		Overall Burden of Treatment		Perceived Health-Related Quality of Life	
	(LMQ-3 Total Score)		(VAS-burden Score)		(EQ VAS Score)	
	Mean score (SD)	p-value	Median score (min-max)	p-value	Mean score (SD)	p-value
Age (years)						
18-29	131.0 (17.0)	0.00*	4.5 (1-3)	0.01*	42.50 (11.8)	0.00*
30-39	108.3 (22.7)		3.0 (2-4)		35.71 (19.9)	
40-49	100.0 (30.8)		8.5 (6-9)		41.5 (19.0)	
50-59	118.4 (20.4)		3.0 (0-10)		38.9 (19.3)	
60-69	87.6 (20.3)		3.0 (0-10)		43.0 (21.0)	
70-79	96.5 (19.0)		3.0 (0-7)		41.8 (22.4)	
≥80	98.7 (22.6)		3.0 (0-7)		54.4 (18.9)	
Gender						
Female	91.8 (21.4)	0.14*	2.0 (0-10)	0.25*	39.4 (21.3)	0.42*
Male	104.4 (17.4)		3.0 (0-10)		43.5 (20.5)	
Highest Educational Attainment, n (%)						
Primary / Secondary	99.1 (26.2)	0.19*	3.0 (0-8)	0.01*	41.9 (21.0)	0.04*
Tertiary	104.3 (21.0)		3.0 (0-10)		46.0 (18.7)	
Employment Status, n (%)						
Employed	99.3 (18.7)	0.03*	3.0 (0-8)	0.00*	46.0 (18.3)	0.33*
Unemployed	114.2 (20.4)		4.0 (0-10)		38.8 (20.9)	
Retired	87.9 (18.0)		3.0 (0-10)		39.1 (21.3)	
Full-time / part-time student	109.8 (23.9)		3.0 (1-8)		54.4 (26.7)	
Others	103.8 (30.8)		3.0 (0-8)		45.4 (18.4)	
Self-Reported Health Condition						
Excellent	81.0 (26.2)	<0.001*	0.0 (0-1)	0.00*	52.7 (30.8)	<0.001*
Good	96.9 (18.0)		1.0 (0-4)		73.2 (13.0)	
Moderate	107.9 (21.4)		2.5 (0-10)		53.1 (17.4)	
Poor	111.5 (15.3)		3.0 (0-7)		31.7 (16.0)	
History of Medical Condition(s)						
High blood pressure	96.5 (18.3)	0.00*	2.0 (0-9)	0.01*	39.9 (21.7)	0.42*
Diabetes	104.3 (18.9)	0.01*	3.0 (0-10)	0.01*	38.1 (21.0)	0.42*
Heart problems	100.0 (20.4)	0.45*	2.5 (0-10)	0.36*	39.4 (20.4)	0.44*
Stroke	100.3 (17.0)	0.44*	2.5 (0-8)	0.91*	52.1 (21.9)	0.06*
Cancer / glioma	98.4 (18.3)	0.40*	3.0 (0-7)	0.34*	33.9 (20.9)	0.19*
Hearing problem	98.9 (20.7)	0.521*	3.0 (0-8)	0.14*	42.2 (19.0)	0.00*
Arthritis	100.4 (20.2)	0.53*	2.0 (0-10)	0.70*	46.1 (21.4)	0.00*
Dementia	109.0 (27.1)	0.50*	0.5 (0-8)	0.11*	51.7 (20.2)	0.44*

*Independent samples t-test/One Way Analysis of Variance.

† Mann-Whitney U test/Kruskal-Wallis test

<https://doi.org/10.12720/ajph.2020.0807801032>

A further analysis of the LMQ-3 domains indicates that the average score for interferences with social or leisure activities and daily tasks domain was found to be significantly different across the age groups ($F(6, 112) = 3.07, p = 0.008$). The average domain score for the relationships with healthcare professionals relating to medicines ($F(3, 115) = 2.84, p = 0.041$), practical difficulties in getting prescriptions from the doctor ($F(3, 115) = 4.35, p = 0.006$), lack of perceived effectiveness of their medicines ($F(3, 115) = 3.73, p = 0.013$), experience of bothersome side effects ($F(3, 115) = 6.03, p < 0.001$), general concerns about long-term effects of using medicines ($F(3, 115) = 6.49, p < 0.001$), cost-related burden ($F(3, 115) = 5.19, p = 0.002$), as well as interferences with daily life ($F(3, 115) = 8.70, p < 0.001$) were found to be significantly different across varying health conditions. Hypertensive participants generally reported lower perceived burden of medication across all eight domains. Overall, the Cronbach's alpha for all domains were found to be acceptable (ranged from 0.646 to 0.821), except for practical

difficulties in getting prescriptions from the doctor (Cronbach's alpha = 0.461) (Table 3).

Table 3: LMQ-3 and EQ-5D-5L domain scores with significant demographic factors.

Factor	LMQ-3 Domain (maximum score)								
	Relationships (25)	Practicalities (35)	Lack of Effectiveness (30)	Side Effects (20)	Concerns (35)	Cost (15)	Interferences (30)	Autonomy (15)	Perceived Medicine Burden (20)
Cronbach alpha	0.786	0.861	0.786	0.792	0.821	0.839	0.846	0.680	0.901
Age (years)									
18-29	12.3 (3.5)	22.0 (2.8)	14.0 (7.1)	12.5 (3.5)	26.0 (1.4)	12.0 (1.4)	19.3 (0.7)	12.5 (2.1)	131.0 (17.0)
30-39	16.9 (2.6)	17.6 (2.5)	13.3 (3.3)	10.1 (2.0)	23.1 (0.6)	8.4 (1.2)	18.3 (4.1)	9.7 (2.8)	109.3 (22.7)
40-49	10.5 (2.7)	16.0 (3.7)	13.4 (3.6)	9.6 (2.8)	18.3 (5.1)	7.6 (3.4)	15.6 (3.6)	9.7 (2.0)	100.6 (16.0)
50-59	10.4 (3.4)	18.0 (3.1)	13.7 (3.5)	10.0 (3.5)	21.9 (0.6)	8.7 (3.2)	16.9 (3.5)	10.8 (2.7)	110.6 (20.4)
60-69	10.1 (3.8)	16.1 (3.7)	11.6 (3.6)	8.4 (3.4)	19.7 (6.1)	6.7 (5.2)	13.6 (3.6)	11.3 (3.1)	97.6 (20.1)
70-79	9.7 (2.7)	16.9 (4.0)	11.7 (3.4)	7.6 (3.0)	18.2 (6.5)	6.4 (3.3)	14.1 (3.2)	11.8 (2.7)	96.5 (19.0)
≥80	10.7 (4.8)	17.8 (4.3)	12.6 (4.3)	8.9 (4.4)	18.0 (5.9)	6.4 (3.3)	14.8 (3.4)	11.6 (2.6)	99.7 (22.6)
p-value*	0.939	0.232	0.579	0.158	0.212	0.063	0.808	0.219	0.067
Self-Rated Health Condition									
Excellent	12.7 (0.8)	14.7 (3.2)	12.0 (7.2)	8.7 (4.2)	14.7 (0.6)	6.3 (1.5)	13.1 (3.5)	12.7 (2.1)	91.0 (26.2)
Good	8.4 (2.9)	15.5 (2.6)	11.3 (2.9)	7.3 (2.7)	16.9 (5.4)	4.8 (2.9)	13.3 (3.0)	11.6 (2.5)	90.9 (16.0)
Moderate	10.6 (3.2)	17.6 (4.0)	13.3 (3.8)	9.8 (3.5)	23.3 (6.2)	8.3 (3.5)	16.3 (4.2)	10.7 (3.0)	107.9 (21.4)
Poor	12.0 (4.5)	18.3 (4.7)	18.2 (3.9)	10.9 (3.6)	22.1 (4.0)	7.7 (3.1)	18.3 (4.0)	10.7 (2.6)	113.3 (15.3)
p-value*	0.041	0.006	0.013	0.001	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.204	<0.001
History of Medical Condition(s)									
Hypertensive	9.8 (3.2)	16.2 (3.5)	11.6 (3.2)	8.0 (3.3)	18.9 (6.0)	6.9 (3.3)	14.2 (3.7)	11.3 (3.0)	96.5 (18.3)
Non-Hypertensive	11.2 (3.6)	17.6 (3.9)	13.6 (4.0)	10.0 (3.7)	20.3 (6.5)	7.6 (3.4)	16.3 (4.3)	11.2 (2.5)	107.6 (21.7)
p-value*	0.014	0.041	0.003	0.002	0.242	0.255	0.809	0.014	0.003
	EQ-5D-5L Domain								
	Median Domain Score (min-max)					Perceived Health-Related Quality of Life			
Factor	Mobility	Self-Care	Usual Activities	Pain / Discomfort	Anxiety / Depression				
Age (years)									
18-29	4.3 (1-7)	4.0 (1-7)	2.5 (2-5)	3.0 (2-5)	3.0 (2-4)	42.50 (31.6)			
30-39	3.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-4)	53.71 (29.9)			
40-49	3.0 (1-4)	3.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-6)	2.0 (1-4)	2.0 (1-5)	61.31 (39.0)			
50-59	2.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-4)	2.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-5)	59.8 (39.3)			
60-69	2.0 (1-4)	3.0 (1-4)	3.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-4)	3.0 (1-5)	65.0 (21.8)			
70-79	2.0 (1-4)	3.0 (1-3)	3.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-4)	3.0 (1-5)	61.8 (22.4)			
≥80	2.0 (1-4)	3.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-4)	3.0 (1-4)	56.4 (36.9)			
p-value*	0.036	0.005	0.003	0.415	0.236	0.955			
Self-Rated Health Condition									
Excellent	3.0 (1-7)	3.0 (1-7)	3.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-5)	42.7 (0.4)			
Good	3.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-4)	3.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-5)	53.2 (21.8)			
Moderate	2.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-4)	2.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-5)	55.1 (27.4)			
Poor	2.5 (1-4)	3.5 (1-5)	3.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-4)	31.7 (16.1)			
p-value*	<0.001	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	0.237	<0.001			
History of Medical Condition(s)									
Hypertensive	3.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-5)	3.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-4)	3.0 (1-5)	39.9 (21.7)			
Non-Hypertensive	2.0 (1-4)	3.0 (1-4)	2.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-5)	2.0 (1-4)	61.8 (20.9)			
p-value*	0.321	0.753	0.123	0.348	0.001	0.624			

*Independent samples t test/One Way Analysis of Variance

†Mann-Whitney U test/Kruskal-Wallis test

https://doi.org/10.13171/journal.aps.2025.10.003

Table 3 shows the median score of health-related quality of life was significantly different across varying health conditions ($F(3, 115) = 29.25, p < 0.001$). This finding was consistent across all four domains in EQ-5D-5L; difficulties in mobility ($H(3) = 21.22, p < 0.001$); self-care ($H(3) = 13.84, p = 0.003$); usual

activities ($H(3) = 20.55, p < 0.001$); and pain or discomfort ($H(3) = 25.44, p < 0.001$), except for anxiety or depression ($H(3) = 4.04, p = 0.257$). The median score for difficulties in mobility domain was found to be significantly different across the age groups ($H(6) = 13.46, p = 0.036$).

Regression Analysis

Increasing age was found to be correlated with higher number of medical conditions ($r = 0.481, p < 0.010$), and also higher number of medicines used ($r = 0.376, p < 0.010$). Therefore, it was important to adjust for these variables in the regression analysis. After controlling for age, number of medicines used and number of medical conditions, the regression analysis revealed that being hypertensive ($\beta = -7.52, p = 0.037$), self-rated health condition ($\beta = 8.84, p = 0.001$) and overall burden of treatment ($\beta = 3.37, p < 0.001$) were significant in predicting the perceived burden of medication. On the other hand, only self-rated health condition ($\beta = -18.77, p < 0.001$) and overall burden of treatment ($\beta = -2.16, p = 0.086$) were significantly predicting health-related quality of life, after adjusted for age, number of medicines used and number of medical conditions (Table 4). All relevant diagnostics for outliers, influential points, linearity, and multicollinearity were performed and verified.

Table 4: Regression models showing factors associated with perceived burden of medication and perceived health-related quality of life.

Independent variables	Perceived Burden of Medication (3.8Q, 3 score)			Perceived Health-Related Quality of Life (3Q, 5 score)		
	B (SE)	95% CI	p-value	B (SE)	95% CI	p-value
Age	-0.08 (0.13)	-0.31, 0.15	0.499	0.01 (0.1)	-0.22, 0.24	0.922
No. of medicines	-2.68 (2.7)	-7.85, 2.47	0.327	3.02 (2.6)	-0.21, 6.26	0.089
No. of medical conditions	0.57 (1.43)	-2.32, 3.43	0.697	-0.28 (1.26)	-0.81, 1.25	0.719
Self-rated health condition	8.84 (2.3)	3.94, 13.74	0.001	-18.77 (2.4)	-25.61, -13.94	<0.001
Overall burden of treatment (VAS-burden)	3.37 (0.6)	2.19, 4.56	<0.001	-2.16 (0.6)	-3.06, 0.74	0.086
Hypertensive	-7.52 (3.6)	-14.65, -0.43	0.037			
Model statistics	R ²	Adjusted R ²	p-value	R ²	Adjusted R ²	p-value
	0.648	0.389	<0.001	0.673	0.425	<0.001

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207500.t004>

Post-hoc Calculation of Sample Size

Using G*Power (version 3.1.9.4) post-hoc calculation with a medium effect size, a 5% significance level, six predictors and a sample size of 119 resulting in the power of the study to be 89%.

Discussion

Age was found to be positively correlated with both number of medical conditions and number of medicines used. Interestingly, older participants tended to experience a lower perceived burden of medication and overall burden of treatment, as well as all domain scores except for the autonomy domain. These findings are consistent with that of other studies [21,25]. It is possible that older people have been taking medicines regularly for a longer period in comparison to younger people. Therefore, they may have developed regular routines for managing the required medicines [25].

In addition, a negative correlation was revealed between age and health-related quality of life. The findings show that health-related quality of life was lower for those aged 70 years and above. Consequently, it is likely that older people perceive medicine as a “necessity” rather than a “burden”, which could ultimately be correlated with a lower health-related quality of life [25].

Additionally, poor self-rated health condition was found to be correlated with higher levels of perceived burden of medication and overall burden of treatment, and lower health-related quality of life. People with poor health are likely to be those individuals with underlying medical conditions, which makes medicine necessary to improve their health. Therefore, this situation is more likely to elevate the level of burden that could be correlated with a negative impact on health-related quality of life. In the present study, the perceived burden of medication was not found to be significantly related to the number of medicines used and the number of underlying medical conditions.

This study revealed that the majority of the participants reported experiencing minimal (26.9%) to moderate (44.5%) degrees of perceived burden of medication. These findings differ from the estimates reported in Qatar [28]: minimal (66.8%) to moderate (24.1%); in England [29]: minimal (33.1%) to moderate (54.6%); and in Kuwait [30]: minimal (35.4%) to moderate (62.0%) degrees of burden respectively. In addition, the median

perceived burden of medication captured by the present study was 100 (moderate degree of burden), which is higher than in both Qatar [28] (95) and England [31] (99.7), but lower than in Kuwait [30] (112). Furthermore, the median overall burden of treatment for the current study was reported to be 2 (minimal burden), which is lower than that found in the study conducted in England [31] (5 = some degree of burden), Qatar [28] (3 = minimal burden) and Kuwait [30] (5 = some degree of burden). The obvious differences between the various countries raise important questions about cultural attitudes to health and illness. This is an important area for further study in a multicultural community, like Australia, where it has important implications for medical practice.

Due to the significant positive correlation between age, number of medical conditions and number of medicines used, it was important to adjust for these associations in the subsequent regression analyses. Factors such as overall burden of treatment, self-rated health condition and being hypertensive were significant in predicting perceived burden of medication. In the present study, the majority of participants, who were aged 60 years and above, were reported to be hypertensive. Generally, hypertensive patients require as many as seven medicines to control their blood pressure. Specifically, hypertensive patients under 35 years of age require at least three drugs to achieve target blood pressure levels, while older hypertensive patients tend to require more drugs than younger patients [32]. It is possible that these older hypertensive participants need more medications to help them control their blood pressure, which could be related with a higher level of overall burden of treatment. All these factors were significantly predicting the degree of perceived burden of medication.

In terms of the predictors for health-related quality of life, only self-rated health condition and overall burden of treatment were found to be significant factors. Individuals with poor health are more likely to take more medicines to help improve their health, which could possibly be associated with higher overall burden of treatment, which was found to be associated with lower health-related quality of life.

Strengths and Limitations

The strengths of this study include (1) a significant sample dominated by participants aged 60 years and above; and (2) an emerging finding to suggest a possible correlation between underlying medical conditions and perceived burden of medication.

On the other hand, there were some limitations to this study. Firstly, the small sample size was lower than anticipated. Secondly, the responses captured through self-report questionnaires were likely to be associated with self-selection bias and recall bias. Thirdly, the bias due to non-participation was likely to affect the external validity of the study. Fourthly, all participants were recruited from one hospital due to logistic challenges. Therefore, it is important to replicate this study using a larger sample representing a range of different ethnic groups to further explore the findings of this study.

Conclusions

This study has further supported existing literature by showing that increasing age is found to be correlated with lower degrees of perceived burden of medication, lower overall burden of treatment and lower health-related quality of life. A more comprehensive understanding of perceived burden of medication and overall burden of treatment using LMQ-3, as well as health-related quality of life using EQ-5D-5L provide opportunities for physicians to develop customised therapeutic care plans to achieve optimal clinical outcomes for their patients.

References

1. Hovstadius B, Hovstadius K, Åstrand B, Petersson G. Increasing polypharmacy—an individual-based study of the Swedish population 2005–2008. *BMC Clin Pharmacol*. 2010; 10: 16.
2. Duerden M, Avery T, Payne R. *Polypharmacy and Medicines Optimisation—Making It Safe and Sound*. London: The King's Fund. 2013. Available online at:

<https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/publications/polypharmacy-and-medicines-optimisation>.

3. Guthrie B, Makubate B, Hernandez-Santiago V, Dreischulte T. The rising tide of polypharmacy and potentially serious drug interactions 1995–2010: repeated cross sectional analysis of dispensed prescribing in one region. *BMC Med.* 2015; 13: 74.
4. May C, Montori VM, Mair FS. We need minimally disruptive medicine. *BMJ.* 2009; 339: b2803.
5. Moen J, Bohm A, Tillenius T, Antonov K, Nilsson JL, et al. “I don’t know how many of these [medicines] are necessary.”—a focus group study among elderly users of multiple medicines. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2009; 74: 135–141.
6. Sav A, Kendall E, McMillan SS, Kelly F, Whitty JA, et al. ‘You say treatment, I say hard work’: treatment burden among people with chronic illness and their carers in Australia. *Health Soc Care Community.* 2013; 21: 665–674.
7. Sav A, King MA, Whitty JA, Kendall E, McMillan SS, et al. Burden of treatment for chronic illness: a concept analysis and review of the literature. *Health Expect.* 2015; 18: 312–324.
8. Dalwhani NN, Fahami R, Sathanapalli H, Seidu S, Davies MJ, et al. Association between polipharmacy and falls in older adults: a longitudinal study from England. *British Medical Journal Open.* 2017; 7: e016358.
9. Pound P, Britten N, Morgan M, Yardley L, Pope C, et al. Resisting medicines: a synthesis of qualitative studies of medicine taking. *Soc Sci Med.* 2005; 61: 133–155.
10. Reeve E, Wiese MD, Hendrix I, Roberts MS, Shakib S. People’s attitudes, beliefs, and experiences regarding polypharmacy and willingness to deprescribe. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2013; 61: 1508–1514.
11. Morgan TK, Williamson M, Pirotta M, Stewart K, Myers SP, et al. A national census of medicines use: a 24-hour snapshot of Australians aged 50 years and older. *Med J Aust.* 2012; 196: 50–53.
12. Somers M, Rose E, Simmonds D, Whitelaw C, Calver J, et al. Quality use of medicines in residential aged care. *Aust Fam Physician.* 2010; 39: 413–416.

13. Turner JP, Shakib S, Singhal N, Hogan-Doran J, Prowse R, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with polypharmacy in older people with cancer. *Support Care Cancer*. 2014; 22: 1727–1734.
14. Hubbard RE, Peel NM, Scott IA, Martin JH, Smith A, et al. Polypharmacy among inpatients aged 70 years or older in Australia. *Med J Aust*. 2015; 202: 373–377.
15. Balu S, Simko RJ, Quimbo RM, Cziraky MJ. Impact of fixed-dose and multi-pill combination dyslipidemia therapies and medication adherence and the economic burden of sub-optimal adherence. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2009; 25: 2765–2775.
16. Atkinson MJ, Kumar R, Cappelleri JC, Hass SL. Hierarchical construct validity of the treatment satisfaction questionnaire for medication (TSQM version II) among outpatient pharmacy consumers. *Value Health*. 2005; 8: S9–S24.
17. Ruiz MA, Pardo A, Rejas J, Soto J, Villasante F, et al. Development and validation of the “Treatment satisfaction with medicines questionnaire” (SATMED-Q). *Value Health*. 2008; 11: 913–926.
18. Sakthong P, Suksanga P, Sakulbumrungsil R, Winit-Watjana W. Development of patient-reported outcomes measure of pharmaceutical therapy for quality of life (PROMPT-QoL): a novel instrument for medication management. *Res Social Adm Pharm*. 2015; 11: 315–338.
19. Murawski MM, Bentley JP. Pharmaceutical therapy-related quality of life: conceptual development. *J Soc Adm Pharm*. 2001; 18: 2–14.
20. Mohammed MA, Moles RJ, Chen TF. Medication-related burden and patients’ lived experience with medicine: a systematic review and metasynthesis of qualitative studies. *BMJ Open*. 2016; 6: e010035.
21. Tran VT, Montori VM, Eton DT, Baruch D, Falissard B, et al. Development and description of measurement properties of an instrument to assess treatment burden among patients with multiple chronic conditions. *BMC Med*. 2012; 10: 68.
22. Eton DT, Elraiyah TA, Yost KJ, Ridgeway JL, Johnson A, et al. A systematic review of patient reported measures of

- burden of treatment in three chronic diseases. *Patient Relat Outcome Meas.* 2013; 4: 7–20.
23. Krska J, Morecroft CW, Rowe PH, Poole H. Measuring the impact of long-term medicines use from the patient perspective. *Int J Clin Pharm.* 2014; 36: 675–678.
 24. Katusiime B, Corlett S, Reeve J, Krska J. Measuring medicine-related experiences from a patient's perspective: a systematic review. *Patient Relat Outcome Meas.* 2016; 7: 157–171.
 25. Krska J, Katusiime B, Corlett SA. Validation of an instrument to measure patient experiences of medicines use: the Living with Medicines Questionnaire (LMQ). *Patient Preference and Adherence.* 2017; 11: 671–679.
 26. Katusiime B, Corlett SA, Krska J. Development and validation of a revised instrument to measure burden of long-term medicines use: the Living with Medicines Questionnaire version 3. *Patient Related Outcome Measures.* 2018; 9: 155–168.
 27. Van Reenen M, Janssen B. *EQ-5D-5L User Guide: Basic information on how to use the EQ-5D-5L instrument.* Rotterdam: EuroQol Research Foundation. 2015.
 28. Zidan A, Awaisu A, El-Hajj MS, Al-Abdulla SA, Figueroa DCR, et al. Medication-Related Burden among Patients with Chronic Disease Conditions: Perspectives of Patients Attending Non-Communicable Disease Clinics in a Primary Healthcare Setting in Qatar. *Pharm. (Basel).* 2018; 6: 85.
 29. Krska J, Corlett SA, Katusiime B. Complexity of Medicine Regimens and Patient Perception of Medicine Burden. *Pharm. (Basel).* 2019; 7: 18.
 30. Awad A, Alhadab A, Albassam A. Medication-related burden and medication adherence among geriatric patients in Kuwait: a cross-sectional study. *Front Pharmacol.* 2020; 11: 1296.
 31. Krska J, Katusiime B, Corlett SA. Patient experiences of the burden of using medicines for long-term conditions and factors affecting burden: A cross-sectional survey. *Health Soc Care Community.* 2018; 26: 946–959.
 32. Marshall T. How many antihypertensives do patients need to achieve a target blood pressure. *Journal of Human Hypertension.* 2005; 19: 317–319.